IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.70 OF 2006

Suresh B. Bagrodia ...Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Shri Ghanshyam Upadhyay for the Petitioner.
Shri S.S. Pednekar, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : R.S.MOHITE, J.

DATE : JANUARY 16, 2006.

P.C.:

1. The Advocate appearing for the Petitioner states that instead of pressing this Petition, he would like to withdraw the same and cross-examine the complainant who has been recalled. He, however, places on record the difficulty that after the filing of this Petition, the Trial Court has directed the closure of evidence even though it was mentioned to the Court that this Petition was pending challenging the orders granting recall. In the circumstances, it is directed that the complainant will be summoned again for the purpose of cross-examination and the Petitioner will be allowed to cross-examine the complainant. The Advocate for the Petitioner states that if the complainant is summoned for further cross-examination, he will complete the cross-examination expeditiously and will not ask for

adjournment. Subject to these directions the Petition is disposed of. It is mentioned that the trial is kept today. The trial may not be proceeded with further today and short adjournment may be granted. The complainant may be summoned for the purpose of cross-examination.

. Authenticated copy be furnished to the parties on an urgent basis.

JUDGE